Application No. Applicant(s) 10/612,084 CURRY ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2625 Pawandeep S. Dhingra All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Pawandeep S. Dhingra. (3) Twyler Lamb. (2) Obert Chu. (4) Edward Chin. Date of Interview: 8/23/07 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: Independent Claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Metois.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Applicant's representatives and the Examiner discussed the limitations of independent claim 1. The Examiner will wait for the applicant to file proposed amendments and will look into the aspects proposed in the amendments in light of the interview.</u>

Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TWYLER LAMB
SUPERVISORY PATE TO A MINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required